AUDIT COMMITTEE

11 March 2014

ANNUAL GOVERNANCE STATEMENT AND CORPORATE RISK MONITORING UPDATE

REPORT OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE

Contact Officer: Simon Howson, Tel No. (01962) 848 104

Email: showson@winchester.gov.uk

RECENT REFERENCES:

AUD057 Risk Management Policy and Update, 25 June 2013

AUD067 Annual Financial Report 2012/13, 24 September 2013

AUD074 Annual Governance Statement and Corporate Risk Monitoring Report, 3 December 2013

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

This report provides an update on the progress that has been made to date against the programmes and projects that address the issues included in the Annual Governance Statement and mitigate or treat the risks included in the Corporate Risk Register.

Appendix 1 provides an update on the progress that has been made in addressing the issues included in the Council's Annual Governance Statement.

An update on the progress in mitigating and treating the risks included on the Corporate Risk Register is given in Appendix 2.

RECOMMENDATION:

1. That the Committee raises with the relevant Portfolio Holder any issues arising from the information in this report and considers whether any items of significance need to be drawn to the attention of Cabinet.

AUDIT COMMITTEE

11 March 2014

ANNUAL GOVERNANCE STATEMENT AND CORPORATE RISK MONITORING UPDATE

REPORT OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE

DETAIL:

- 1. Introduction
- 1.1. This report provides an update on the progress that has been made against the programmes and projects that mitigate or treat the risks that are included in the Corporate Risk Register and address the issues identified in the Annual Governance Statement (AGS).
- 1.2. The effective management of Council risks is a key component to its Corporate Governance and Performance Management.
- 1.3. The Council's Risk Management Policy evidences Council responsibilities in managing risk and these have been embedded within the terms and reference of the relevant Committees and Teams.

2. <u>Monitoring Report</u>

- 2.1 The information contained within the Council's Risk Register and the Annual Governance Statement is recorded in the Council's Performance Management System; Covalent. Furthermore the actions and progress against these actions is also recorded on Covalent.
- 2.2 Appendix 1 provides an update on the progress that has been achieved in addressing the issues identified in the Council's Annual Governance Statement. These issues have been identified as having a significant impact in 2013/14 and/or future years and as such are included in the Annual Governance Statement.
- 2.3 Appendix 2 provides an update on the progress that has been achieved so far in the current year for each of the actions that are in place to mitigate or treat the risks included on the Corporate Risk Register.
- 2.4 A small number of issues included on the Annual Governance Statement are also identified as corporate risks. Where this is the case the corporate risk reference has been included against the issue on the AGS monitoring report (Appendix 1).
- 2.5 The criteria for the risk scores are defined within the Council's Risk Rating Card and is included in the Risk Management Policy 2013. A copy of the Risk Rating Score Card is also attached as Appendix 3 to this report.

- 2.6 Each risk is assessed for impact and likelihood, using the Risk Rating Score Card and a four by four matrix. The system is then used to assess the risk as follows:
 - a) Impact is measured on a scale of 1 to 4, with 4 being the highest and 1 being the lowest.
 - b) Likelihood is measured on a scale of 1 to 4, with 4 being the highest and 1 being the lowest.
- 2.7 Risk scores are calculated by multiplying the impact score by the likelihood score.
- 2.8 The previous risk scores against each of the risks and issues shown in the tables in Appendix 3 refer to the score as at December 2013 were included in the previous Risk Management Update (Report <u>AUD074</u>, 3 December 2013 refers).
- 2.9 The risk score has been recalculated and is shown in the current risk score column in the Appendix. This risk score takes into consideration the work progressed since June 2013 in mitigating or treating the risks although it may not always be possible to reduce either the impact or likelihood of a risk occurring and in these examples the actions undertaken are to manage the risk.

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS:

3. <u>SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITY STRATEGY AND PORTFOLIO PLANS</u> (RELEVANCE TO):

- 3.1 Effective use of risk management helps the Council manage risks and opportunities in managing the Council's contribution to the Sustainable Community Strategy and delivery of projects and action included in Portfolio Plans.
- 4. **RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS**:
- 4.1 There is a resource implication in terms of the officer time to complete the risk assessments and record them within the Council's Covalent system which generates the Risk Register. However, the main resource is in identifying and managing the risks, which of course is less costly than if the risks were not managed.
- 4.2 The value to the Council is the effective use of the risk assessments used to inform decision making supporting the delivery of Portfolio Plans, Business/Service Plans and Governance arrangements. This in turn assists in the availability of insurance cover at affordable cost.
- 4.3 The costs associated with the actions themselves are covered within individual team budgets.

5. <u>RISK MANAGEMENT ISSUES</u>

- 5.1 Risk Management helps the Council set management priorities and decide on the allocation of valuable resources. If Council risks are not managed effectively, and clearly evidenced, the Council may be open to legal challenge, financial loss or damage to its reputation resulting in reduced public confidence.
- 5.2 The Council's Risk Register, reflecting the Council's exposure to risk, is contained within the Covalent Performance System. Monthly extracts of the Risk Register are located in the Council's Intranet to inform Members and Officers.
- 5.3 The explicit and implicit reference to management of risks helps the Council achieve its strategic objectives and support the Sustainable Community Strategy and exploit opportunities. To this end Members and Officers need to challenge and support the Council with their risk assessment and their treatment plans.

BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS:

Annual Governance Statement.

Corporate Risk Register.

APPENDICES:

- Appendix 1 Annual Governance Statement update on progress
- Appendix 2 Corporate Risk Register update on progress treating/mitigating Corporate Risks
- Appendix 3 Risk Rating Scorecard and Matrix

ANNUAL GOVERNANCE STATEMENT

Progress monitoring report – March 2014

Issue	Action to be taken	Progress/Comments
Asset Management Also Corporate Risk: CR5003	Maintain an up to date Asset Management Plan and ensure that financial aspects of the Council's fixed assets are appropriately incorporated into the financial planning process, taking account of the possible impact of the current economic situation on the Council's properties.	Work has been completed on the new Depot which will release the old site for development. Renovation/conversion of Abbey Mill is underway with a letting to a restauranteur. Avalon House renovation and letting agreed to Southern Health Trust, with work commencing on site by April 2014. Other opportunities for maximising use/income generation of the portfolio are being considered, Earmarked reserves have been increased to fund future planned expenditure. However there are still some future capital requirements that will need funding identified including the River Park Leisure Centre, and City Offices. This will be considered further during 2014/15. Poor condition of Friarsgate MSCP led to partial closure – due to be replaced in Silver Hill scheme.
Setting and achieving priorities within the context of recession and reduced resources for local government <i>Also Corporate Risk:</i> <i>CR5004</i>	Continued improvement in consultation on Council priorities/budget, for 2013/14 based on the refresh of the Community Strategy. Improvement of links between corporate and departmental business planning, aligning departmental business plans to priority outcomes derived from the Sustainable Community Strategy over a five year period. The financial strategy to be more effectively linked with Change Plans, and with asset, IT and human resource management. Effective Flexible Resource Management to achieve target savings. More effective use of officer capacity through agile working Developing a robust approach to Programme Management	The Council set a balanced budget for 2013/14, but there are a number of further challenges for the medium term financial plan. These include; - changes in Government funding - changes arising from the Government's Welfare Reforms I, including new arrangements for housing and council tax benefits; -changes implemented in the Government's Localism Act, including arrangements for funding social housing and for enabling local groups to take over community facilities and services. A balanced budget for 2014/15 was set in February 2014. The processes for delivering the Council's priorities, which are drawn from the Community Strategy have been further strengthened by the recent refresh of the Strategy for 2014/15. Employee cost savings targets for 2012/13 were achieved, and the "1team" approach is embedded.

		Appendix 1
Issue	Action to be taken	Progress/Comments
Partnership Arrangements	Continue to develop partnership	A number of delivery partnerships are
	arrangements where there is an	in place to help realise priority
Also Corporate Risk:	efficiency or improvement led case	outcomes, for example the
CR5012	to do so.	Community Safety partnership, Health
0110012		& Wellbeing Partnership or Housing
		Forum.
		The Council continues to develop a
		range of partnership and shared
		services projects including:
		- Housing services (based on the
		Hampshire Home Choice service)
		- Fully Integrated Merger of Museums
		services
		Shared services already in place
		include IT, Revenues & Benefits,
		Environment Services / Grounds
		Maintenance Contract and Internal
		Audit. Each will be developed further
		over time, including new
		arrangements now in place for
		Internal Audit.
		During 2013/14 an Internal Audit
		Protocol on information sharing
		between parties and their respective
		assurance providers will be put in
		place.
Housing Finance Reform	Implementing the consequential	The Council took on debt and paid
	changes to the Housing Revenue	£157.622m to the Government in
	Account and the Treasury	March 2012 in accordance with the
	Management procedures, of the	requirements of housing finance
	Government's Housing Finance	reform.
	Reform effective from 1 April 2013.	Treasury management procedures for
	Delivering the Council's new homes	the management of the cashflow and
	programme.	the debt are under further
	F 9	development.
		Preparations are being made for
		accounting changes for depreciation
		and componentisation.
Conceity	Encure conscitute deliver priorities	
Capacity	Ensure capacity to deliver priorities.	Performance Management Team
		leads in monitoring progress of key
Also Corporate Risk:		projects and managing capacity to
CR5006		ensure delivery of priorities. The
		1team approach to staff deployment is
		assisting with directing staff resource
		to priority areas.
		The budget target for vacancy
		management savings has been
		maintained for 2013/14, and
		resources have been identified for the
		Major Projects Team.

•		Appendix 1
Issue	Action to be taken	Progress/Comments
Silver Hill Development	To work with the Council's development partner Henderson	The Secretary of State has confirmed the CPO for Silver Hill and a legal
Also Corporate Risk:	Global investments to progress the	challenge has now been withdrawn.
CR5002	scheme.	A revised planning application is
		expected in the Summer of 2014 while
		a start on site in Q4 2014 remains the
Covernance Baview	A review of the Council's desision	target.
Governance Review	A review of the Council's decision making process, including reviewing	More use being made of PHDs and streamlining of cross referencing
Also Corporate Risk:	how the Portfolio Holder Decision	between committees. Recent ISG
CR5015	making process can be used and	reviewed how ISGs work in the future
	how non-Executive Members can	and the need to identify higher level
	contribute to policy development at	strategic issues for consideration in
	an early stage is planned for	future.
	2013/14.	Member Briefing on Electoral Review
		process held on 7 November 2013
		which will have a significant impact on
		the next stages of the review. Report
		to Cabinet 4 December 2013. Council
		to consider Electoral Cycle options
		and review of financial limits in the
		Constitution at its meeting on 2 April 2014. Further work due to take place
		throughout 2014.
Welfare Reform	The changes being implemented to	No significant impact on rent arrears
	the benefits system in relation to	to date which continue to be
	size criteria, benefit caps and the	monitored regularly.
	direct payment of universal credits	
	may all impact on Housing rent	Government Provision for
	arrears. Additional provision for bad	"discretionary housing payments" of
	debts as well as increased	£130,000 has been provided for the
	resources to support tenants to	City Council and is likely to be fully
	manage the impact of the change have been included within the	committed by 31March 2014.
	Housing Revenue Account budget	
	for 2013/14.	
Business Rates/NNDR	Respond appropriately to the	Income and expenditure is being
	implications of the changes to the	monitored regularly during the year to
	system of National Non Domestic	identify financial pressures at an early
	Rates (NNDR) from 1 April 2013,	stage. There remains a high level of
	with 50% of any future growth in	uncertainty regarding potential
	rates being retained by local	fluctuations in income levels.
	authorities rather than collected	
	centrally and redistributed. The	
	risks/benefits associated with future NNDR income levels are shared	
	between central and local	
	government.	
Community Infrastructure	The introduction of the Community	Operation of CIL will commence on 7
Levy (CIL) implementation	Infrastructure Levy (CIL) will be a	April 2014.
	major change in the mechanism for	
	collecting contributions from	

AUD078
Appendix 1

Issue	Action to be taken	Progress/Comments
	development to mitigate its impact on infrastructure.	
Local Public Audit – change in External Auditors	The outsourcing of external audit in 2012/13 to Ernst & Young, with a reduction in fees of 40%, is expected to provide a different approach to the audit which may result in additional work, initially	This is being closely monitored by the HIOWLA Chief Finance Officers. All of the audits for 2012/13 have now been completed and there is general agreement that all audits went well. The approach has been different and this has resulted in more demands on officer time in certain areas.

Corporate Risk Register Progress monitoring report – March 2014

Risk	Full Name (Cause/Effect/Impact)	Risk Ownership	Previous Risk Score	Current Risk Score	Target Risk Score	Progress Update (risk treatment or mitigation)
Climate Change Adaptation Ref: CR5001	Climate Change Plan is unrealistic <i>leading to</i> an ineffective use of resources <i>resulting in</i> non delivery of Council projects and increased energy cost	Robert Heathcock	Likelihood Imbact	Imbact	Likelihood Impact	The Climate Change Plan has been refreshed into a more realistic and clearer programme of work managed by the Climate Change Programme Board. Specific projects have been commissioned including a Low Carbon Route Map and Carbon Smart accreditation and Board is multi agency. Programme only includes projects with specific resources allocated to it. Energy costs being addressed through an energy reduction programme led by the Estates Team.
Silver Hill Development Ref: CR5002	Complexities of development process <i>leading to</i> delays in regeneration <i>resulting in</i> deterioration of Council assets and lost opportunity	Steve Tilbury	Likelihood	Likelihood Impact	Likelihood	See comments on Silver Hill in Appendix 1.
Asset Management Ref: CR5003	Asset Management Plan not fit for purpose <i>leading to</i> non housing property assets falling into disrepair <i>resulting in</i> unforeseen expenditure and inability to maximise income or capital receipts	Stephen Whetnall	Likelihood Impact	Likelihood Impact	Likelihood Likelihood Market	See comments on Asset Management in Appendix 1.
Financial Strategy Ref: CR5004	Unpredictable external changes affecting the economy or the finance's of the Council <i>leading to</i> the inability to respond to the changes <i>resulting in</i> the Council unable to meet objectives and deliver services	Alexis Garlick	rite Impact	Likelihood Impact	Likelihood Impact	The Council has effective budget management procedures and reporting in place and is able to respond to external factors in a managed way.

AUD078
Appendix 2

Risk	Full Name (Cause/Effect/Impact)	Risk Ownership	Previous Risk Score	Current Risk Score	Target Risk Score	Progress Update (risk treatment or mitigation)
Develop a Flexible, Responsive Workforce Ref: CR5006	Lack of skills and flexibility amongst staff <i>leading to</i> the failure to take opportunities to improve services and/or make efficiency savings <i>resulting in</i> poor delivery and failure to manage budget	Simon Eden	Impact	Impact	Impact	See comments on Capacity in Appendix 1.
Programme Management Ref: CR5007	Failure to co-ordinate programme of major projects <i>leading to</i> ineffective use of resources <i>resulting in</i> poor project outcomes and missed opportunities for improvement.	Simon Eden	Poor Impact	Likelihood Impact	Likelihood Impact	Performance Management team continues to meet regularly to consider the progress of significant projects. The Council's Project Office in 2013 was strengthened with the appointment of a Head of Major Projects who will focus on the delivery of the major projects and programmes which will lead to change in our community.
Business Continuity Ref: CR5009	Unforeseen event or circumstance <i>leading to</i> an extended delay in returning to normal working	Steve Tilbury	Impact	Impact	Likelihood Impact	The latest updated version of the Council's Business Continuity Plans and supporting policy documents were circulated to all lead officers in October 2013. Copies are kept on the Council's Intranet and under secure off site storage on the Engage website. Publicity was included for all staff in the weekly briefing note and to Members in the Democratic Services Update. There will be significant changes to business continuity arrangements from autumn 2014 as the Council will no longer operate the Adams Continuity DR site at Basingstoke but will replicate data and telephone services with Test Valley Borough Council. A requirement will be to test the new arrangements.

AUD078
Appendix 2

Risk	Full Name (Cause/Effect/Impact)	Risk Ownership	Previous Risk Score	Current Risk Score	Target Risk Score	Progress Update (risk treatment or mitigation)
Control Weakness Ref: CR5011	Weak or ineffective internal control <i>leading to</i> financial loss <i>resulting</i> <i>in</i> damage to reputation and adverse publicity	Stephen Whetnall	Poor Event Impact	Like Elipood	Likelihood Mpact	Regularly reviewed in officer Corporate Management Group; Internal Audit Progress and Annual Governance reports to Audit Committee.
Shared Services Ref: CR5012	Weak governance or breakdown of consensus between authorities, <i>leading to</i> wasted resource time <i>resulting in</i> less efficient service delivery and loss of opportunity.	Simon Eden	Impact	Likelihood Direct	Likelihood Impact	See comments on Partnership Arrangements in Appendix 1.
LDF and Local Plan Ref: CR5014	Failure to have Local Plan Pt 1 found sound <i>leading to</i> requirement to reconsider and resubmit <i>resulting in</i> lack of appropriate control on planning policy.	Steve Tilbury	Impact	Impact	Impact	Cabinet LDF on the 27 November was presented with a report that included the Revised Local Development Scheme 2014 (report <u>CAB2528 (LDF)</u> refers) which set out a new timetable for the Local Plan Part 2 and included a detailed risk register for the Winchester District Development Framework. The current risk score reflects the legal challenge that has been received to the adoption of the Winchester District Local Plan Part 1.
Changes to governance arrangements Ref: CR5015	Local governance structures not fit for purpose <i>leading to</i> poor democratic oversight of business <i>resulting in</i> poor decision making.	Stephen Whetnall	reiling intervention interve	Like in boot	Likelihood Impact	See comments on Governance review in Appendix 1.
Treasury Management Ref: CR5016	Poor treasury management leading to sub-optimal investment and borrowing decisions resulting in lower interest income and higher interest costs.	Alexis Garlick	Impact	Likelihood Impact	Likelihood Impact	Investment performance has been improved and management of borrowing is being developed.

AUD078
Appendix 2

Risk	Full Name (Cause/Effect/Impact)	Risk Ownership	Previous Risk Score	Current Risk Score	Target Risk Score	Progress Update (risk treatment or mitigation)
Performance Management Ref: CR5018	Failure to spot and rectify poor service performance <i>leading to</i> service decline <i>resulting in</i> poor value for money, poor customer service and damaged reputation.	Simon Eden	Impact	Likelihood Impact	poort	Performance Management Team continues to meet regularly to consider the progress of significant projects, key performance indicators and financial monitoring. Work underway to review performance management arrangements within teams.

Risk Rating Card

Each risk is assessed for impact and likelihood, using the Risk Rating Score Card and a four by four matrix. The scoring system is then used to assess the risks as follows:

- 1. Impact is measured on a scale of 1 to 4 with 4 being the highest and 1 being the lowest,
- 2. Likelihood is measured on a scale of 1 to 4, with 4 being the highest and 1 being the lowest.

Risk Scores are calculated by multiplying the Impact score by the likelihood score.

IMPACTS								
	(1) Minor	(2) Medium	(3) Major	(4) Catastrophic				
Financial Impact	£0K - £20K	£20k - £200K	£200K - £2M	£2M plus				
Service Provision	No effect	Slightly Reduced	Service Suspended Short Term / reduced	Service Suspended Long Term/Statutory duties not delivered				
Health & Safety	Sticking Plaster / first aider	Broken bones/Illness Lost time accident or occupational ill health	Loss of Life/Major illness-Major injury incl broken limbs /hospital admittance Major ill health	Major loss of life/Large scale major illness				
Corporate Objectives		Objectives of one section not met	Directorate Objectives not met	Corporate objectives not met				
Morale		Some hostile relationship and minor non cooperation	Industrial action	Mass staff leaving/Unable to attract staff				
Reputation	No media attention / minor letters	Adverse Local media Leader	Adverse National publicity	Remembered for years!!				
Government relations	One off single complaint	Poor Assessment(s)	Service taken over temporarily	Service taken over permanently				

<u>Likelihood</u>

LIKELIHOOD	PROBABILITY		
Unlikely D (1)	1% to 25% chance in 5 years		
Possible C (2)	26% to 50% chance in 5 years		
Probable B (3)	51% to 75% chance in 5 years		
Certain A (4)	76% to 100% chance in 5 years		

Low	Low	1 Imi	2 Dact	3	4 ligh
Likelihood	D (1)				
	C (2)				
	B (3)				
High	A (4)				